

1 BENBROOK LAW GROUP, PC
2 BRADLEY A. BENBROOK (SBN 177786)
3 STEPHEN M. DUVERNAY (SBN 250957)
4 701 University Avenue, Suite 106
5 Sacramento, CA 95825
6 Telephone: (916) 447-4900
7 Facsimile: (916) 447-4904
8 brad@benbrooklawgroup.com
9 steve@benbrooklawgroup.com
10

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
14

15 MARCO ANTONIO CARRALERO;
16 GARRISON HAM; MICHAEL
17 SCHWARTZ; ORANGE COUNTY
18 GUN OWNERS PAC; SAN DIEGO
19 COUNTY GUN OWNERS PAC;
20 CALIFORNIA GUN RIGHTS
21 FOUNDATION; and FIREARMS
22 POLICY COALITION, INC.,
23

24 Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 ROB BONTA, in his official capacity as
27 Attorney General of California,
28

Defendant.

Case No.:

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

May v. Bonta
No. 8:23-cv-01696-CJC-ADS

1 TO THE COURT, CLERK OF THE COURT, AND TO ALL PARTIES IN
2 THE RELATED CASE REFERENCED BELOW:

3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Local Rule 83-1.3.1, Plaintiffs
4 hereby serve this Notice of Related Case to show that this action is related to another
5 action within this District, namely *May v. Bonta*, No. 8:23-cv-01696-CJC-ADS, filed
6 September 12, 2023.

7 This case and *May* satisfy each of the three related-case criteria identified in the
8 Local Rules. Specifically, both cases challenge the constitutionality of several
9 “sensitive place” restrictions in Senate Bill 2 (“SB2”) set forth in California Penal
10 Code § 26230, which Governor Newsom signed into law on September 26, 2023.
11 Plaintiffs in *Carralero* assert a single claim under the Second Amendment. The *May*
12 Plaintiffs’ primary claim for relief is under the Second Amendment, though they also
13 assert due process and First Amendment causes of action.

14 These cases “arise from the same . . . happening or event,” and “call for
15 determination of the same or substantially related . . . questions of law and fact”: In
16 each case, the Court’s core task is to determine the constitutionality of SB2’s sensitive
17 place restrictions under the framework set out in *New York State Rifle & Pistol*
18 *Association v. Bruen*, 142 S. Ct. 2111 (2022). Assignment of the cases to different
19 judges would therefore “entail substantial duplication of labor” to resolve an identical
20 constitutional question.

21
22 Dated: September 26, 2023

BENBROOK LAW GROUP, PC

23
24 By s/ Bradley A. Benbrook
25 BRADLEY A. BENBROOK
26 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
27
28